References

COUCOUVANIS, D. (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 707-709.

- COUCOUVANIS, D., BAENZINGER, N. C. & JOHNSON, S. M. (1973). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 3875–3886.
- ENJALBERT, R., GLEIZES, A., TROMBE, J.-C., GUTTÉRREZ-CAMPO, M. L. & ROMÁN, P. (1985). J. Mol. Struct. 131, 1-10.
- FRASSE, C. (1985). PhD Thesis, Univ. Paul Sabatier of Toulouse, France.
- GLEIZES, A. & VERDAGUER, M. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 3727-3737.
- GOLIC, L., BULC, N. & DIETZSCH, W. (1982). Inorg. Chem. 21, 3560-3562.

- LATHAM, A. R., HASCALL, V. C. & GRAY, H. B. (1965). Inorg. Chem. 4, 788-792.
- MARTÍNEZ-RIPOLL, M. & CANO, F. H. (1975). *PESOS*. Program for the automatic treatment of weighting schemes for leastsquares refinement. Instituto Rocasolano, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.
- STEWART, J. M., MACHIN, P. A., DICKINSON, C. W., AMMON, H. L., HECK, H. & FLACK, H. (1976). The XRAY76 system. Tech. Rep. TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- TAYLOR, R. & KENNARD, O. (1982). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 5063-5070.
- WALKER, N. & STUART, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 51–53

Structure of Bis(tetraethylammonium) Hexaiododimercurate(II) Diiodomercury(II)

By J. Fábry*

Institute of Physics, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Na Slovance 2, 180 40, Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

AND B. A. MAXIMOV

Institute of Crystallography, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Leninsky Prospekt 59, Moscow, USSR

(Received 14 February 1990; accepted 13 June 1990)

Abstract. $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_2[Hg_2I_6].HgI_2$, $M_r = 1781.53$, tetragonal, $P4_2/m$, a = 10.391 (1), c = 17.051 (1) Å, V = 1841.4 Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 3.386$ g cm⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å, $\mu = 195.23$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1628, T = 293 K, R = 0.0468 for 1201 observed reflections. The structure consists of two crystallographically independent tetraethylammonium cations, a linear centrosymmetric HgI₂ molecule [Hg—I bond length is 2.574 (1) Å] and a dimeric anion $[Hg_2I_6]^{2^-}$. There are two van der Waals contacts between the Hg atom of HgI₂ and two iodines from $[Hg_2I_6]^{2^-}$ [3.547 (2) Å] and two I—I van der Waals contacts [3.769 (2) Å] between iodines of HgI₂ and $[Hg_2I_6]^{2^-}$. The latter are considerably shorter than the van der Waals I…I distance (4.3 Å).

Introduction. Previous studies have shown that the characteristic coordination of mercury by I atoms (Grdenić, 1965), which is in most cases distorted, is digonal, (rarely) trigonal and tetrahedral. In addition, it has often been observed that Hg atoms tend to be surrounded by further more-distant iodines. These iodines together with those involved in the characteristic coordination usually surround Hg in the form of a distorted regular object, such as an octahedron or a trigonal bipyramid. Structures

0108-2701/91/010051-03\$03.00

which contain Hg which is tetrahedrally coordinated by iodine may be separated into three groups: (a) with isolated molecules HgI_4^- , (b) with dimeric molecules $Hg_2I_6^{2-}$ which consist of two edge-shared coordination tetrahedra and (c) Hg—I polymers with corner-shared tetrahedra. The aim of the present work was to establish the structure of the title compound and to compare it with related ones.

Experimental. The title compound was one of the products of the reaction of tetraethylammonium iodide with mercury(II) iodide in petroleum ether. Yellow crystals were prepared by subsequent recrystallization from propanone.

Sphere-ground crystal (R = 0.162 mm), $\mu R = 3.173$, Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F diffractometer, 50 kV/ 30 mA, graphite-monochromated Mo K α . Lattice parameters from least-squares refinement of 25 reflections ($15.30 < \theta < 17.30^\circ$). $\omega/2\theta$ scan mode, $\omega = (1.30 + 1.05\tan\theta)^\circ$, vertical aperture 4 mm. The intensity-standard reflection (068) was monitored ever 1800 s; intensity variation ($\pm 5\%$) during the data collection. The prescan speed was $10^\circ \text{ min}^{-1}$. The final scan was not applied for reflections where $\sigma(I)/I$, determined after the prescan, lay outside the interval (0.05, 1). The maximum final-scan measurement time was 30 s.

3057 reflections were measured up to $\theta = 30^{\circ}$ ($0 \le h \le 14$, $0 \le k \le 14$, $0 \le l \le 23$); *i.e.* 2776 unique

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

^{© 1991} International Union of Crystallography

reflections from which 1201 were considered observed $[I > 1.96\sigma(I)]$.

Linear correction for the variance of the intensity checking reflection, and Lp and absorption corrections were applied (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1959, Vol. II). The minimum and maximum transmission factors were 0.0227 and 0.0467, respectively.

The positions of Hg and I were determined by direct methods by the program package AREN85 (Andrianov, 1987) and subsequent calculations were performed by the SDS programs (Petříček & Malý, 1988).

Scattering factors (including anomalous-dispersion corrections) were taken from Cromer & Mann (1968) and International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). After the localization and anisotropic refinement of all non-H atoms a secondaryextinction correction was applied. The refinement under the assumption of extinction type II (Becker & Coppens. 1974) resulted in a higher R factor than that of extinction type I, which gave practially the same results for Lorentzian as for Gaussian distribution, in both cases isotropic $[g_{\text{Lorentz}} = 0.16 (2) \times 10^{-4}, g_{\text{Gauss}} = 0.13 (1) \times 10^{-4}]$. (The differences between corresponding structure parameters for both distributions were less than their standard deviations.)

It was not possible to locate H atoms successfully and they were not considered further.

Finally, 74 parameters were refined, $w(|F_o| |F_c|^2$ minimized, $w^{-1} = [\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.03|F_o|)^2]$ where $\sigma(F_{\alpha})$ is derived from counting statistics. The refinement resulted in R = 0.1372, wR = 0.0833 for reflections including unobserveds, and R = 0.0468,

Fig. 1. A view of the structure along the c axis. H atoms omitted.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

	$B_{eq} = \frac{4}{3} \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
	x	у	z	$B_{eq}(Å^2)$	
Hg(1)	0	5000	5000	6.00 (6)	
Hg(2)	338 (1)	3145 (1)	0	4.11 (3)	
I(1)	0`´	5000	1280 (1)	3.48 (4)	
I(2)	0	5000	3491 (1)	3.80 (4)	
IÌ3	1480 (2)	1628 (2)	5000	3-79 (4)	
I(4)	2898 (2)	2689 (2)	0	4.77 (5)	
Ň(ĺ)	0	0	2500	2.5 (4)	
N(2)	5000	5000	2500	3.8 (7)	
cìń	835 (15)	835 (14)	1954 (10)	3.2 (4)	
C(2)	1761 (17)	1745 (16)	2387 (11)	4.1 (5)	
C(3)	4931 (23)	3779 (16)	2977 (17)	6.7 (8)	
C(4)	3867 (21)	3796 (18)	3631 (14)	5.8 (7)	

Table 2. Relevant intra- and intermolecular distances (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Hg(1) - I(2)	2.574 (1)	Hg(2)—I(1)	2.934 (1)
Hg(2)—I(3) ⁱⁱ	2.678 (2)	Hg(2)I(4)	2.702 (2)
N(1) - C(1)	1.54 (2)	C(1) - C(2)	1.54 (2)
N(2) - C(3)	1.51 (2)	C(3)-C(4)	1.57 (4)
Hg(1) - I(4)	3.547 (2)	Hg(1) - I(3)	3.826 (2)
I(1) - I(2)	3.769 (2)	Hg(2)—Hg(2) ^{vi}	3.919 (2)
$I(2) - Hg(1) - I(2)^{viii}$	180.00 (0)	$I(1) - Hg(2) - I(1)^{i}$	96.19 (4)
$I(1) - Hg(2) - I(3)^{ii}$	109.46 (3)	$Hg(2) - I(1) - Hg(2)^{vi}$	83.81 (4)
$I(1)^{i}$ —Hg(2)—I(3) ⁱⁱ	109.46 (3)	$I(1)^{i}$ —Hg(2)—I(4)	103.49 (4)
$I(3)^{ii} - Hg(2) - I(4)$	129.63 (7)	I(1)—Hg(2)—I(4)	103.49 (4)
$C(1) - N(1) - C(1)^{iii}$	105-6 (8)	$C(1) - N(1) - C(1)^{vii}$	111-4 (8)
N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	114.1 (12)	N(2) - C(3) - C(4)	114.0 (15)
$C(3) - N(2) - C(3)^{v}$	114.8 (14)	$C(3) - N(2) - C(3)^{iv}$	106-9 (13)
I(2) - Hg(1) - I(3)	90.00 (0)	$I(2) - Hg(1) - I(4)^{ii}$	90.00 (0)
$I(3) - Hg(1) - I(4)^{ii}$	75.68 (4)		

Symmetry code: (i) x, y, -z; (ii) -y, x, (-z + 0.5); (iii) -x, -y, z; (iv) $y_{1}(-x+1)_{2}(-z+0.5)$; (v) $(-x+1)_{2}(-y+1)_{2}$; (vi) $-x_{2}(-y+1)_{2}$; (vi) $-x_{$ + 1),z; (vii) y, -x, (-z+0.5); (viii) x, y, (-z+1).

wR = 0.0565 for observed reflections only. S = 1.074, residual electron densities $\Delta \rho(\text{max.}) = 3.53$, $\Delta \rho(\text{min.})$ $= -3.90 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}, (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.01.$

Discussion. The structure is shown in Fig. 1. The coordinates and B_{eq} values are given in Table 1, the relevant intra- and intermolecular distances are given in Table 2.*

The most interesting feature of the linear centrosymmetric molecule HgI₂ is the short Hg-I bond length [2.574(1) Å] which is slightly longer than that observed in HgI₂ vapour [2.554 (3) Å; Spiridonov, Gershikov & Butayev, 1979). On the other hand the bond length observed in the present structure is considerably shorter than that found both in yellow HgI_2 (Jeffrey & Vlasse, 1967) where the average

^{*} Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53286 (29 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Hg—I bond length = 2.617(6) Å and the angle I-Hg-I = $178 \cdot 3^{\circ}$, and in the 1:1 complex between 18-crown-6 and HgI₂ (Pears, Fraser Stoddart, Crosby, Allwood & Williams, 1986a) which contains a linear HgI₂ molecule where the Hg-I bond length = 2.622 (1) Å.

The geometry of the dimeric anion $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$ is very similar to that found previously: Pears, Fraser Stoddart, Crosby, Allwood & Williams (1986b), Shibaeva & Kaminskij (1984) and Zacharie, Wuest, Olivier & Beauchamps (1985). In these structures, however, the bridging iodines are not equidistant from the bonded Hg atoms (2.906 and 2.944, 2.819 and 2.957, 2.864 and 2.962 Å), contrary to the present case [2.934 (1) Å]. Correspondingly, the angle Hg—I_{bridge}—Hg in the present structure [83.81 (4)°] is somewhat smaller than that in the references listed above: 88.0 (1), 87.2 (2) and 85.7 (2)°.

There are two van der Waals contacts [3.769 (2) Å] - see Fig. 2 – between iodines of HgI₂ and $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-1}$ $[r_w(I) = 2.15 \text{ Å}; CRC Handbook of Chemistry and$ Physics (1979)] and two van der Waals contacts between Hg(1) and two equivalent I(4) atoms $[r_w(Hg) = 1.50 \text{ Å}; \text{ Grdenić}, 1965).$ However, there are also two further distances Hg(1)—I(3) [Hg(1) belongs to the HgI₂ molecule] which exceed the sum of van der Waals radii of Hg and I, just completing the environment of the Hg(1) atom to form a very deformed octahedron.

The authors thank Dr László Csordás from L. Eötvös University, Budapest, for kindly providing the crystals.



Fig. 2. A view of the $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$ and HgI_2 molecules. The atoms Hg(1), I(2) and I(1) lie within a line parallel to the c axis.

References

ANDRIANOV, V. I. (1987). Kristallografiya, 32, 228-231.

- BECKER, P. & COPPENS, P. (1974). Acta Cryst. A30, 129-141.
- CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (1979). Edited by R. C. WEAST. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- GRDENIĆ, D. (1965). Q. Rev. Chem. Soc. 19, 303-328.
- JEFFREY, G. A. & VLASSE, M. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 396-399.
- PEARS, D. A., FRASER STODDART, J., CROSBY, J., ALLWOOD, B. L. & WILLIAMS, D. J. (1986a). Acta Cryst. C42, 51-53.
- PEARS, D. A., FRASER STODDART, J., CROSBY, J., ALLWOOD, B. L. & WILLIAMS, D. J. (1986b). Acta Cryst. C42, 804-806.
- PETŘÍČEK, V. & MALÝ, K. (1988). The SDS System. Program package for X-ray structure determination.
- SHIBAEVA, R. P. & KAMINSKIJ, V. F. (1984). Kristallografiya, 29, 606-609.
- SPIRIDONOV, V. P., GERSHIKOV, A. G. & BUTAYEV, B. S. (1979). J. Mol. Struct. 52, 53-62.
- ZACHARIE, B., WUEST, J. D., OLIVIER, M. J. & BEAUCHAMPS, A. L. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 369-371.

Acta Cryst. (1991). C47, 53-56

Structures of $(\beta$ -Cyanoethyl)(3-ethylpyridine)bis(dimethylglyoximato)cobalt(III) (1) and $(\beta$ -Cyanoethyl)(4-ethylpyridine)bis(dimethylglyoximato)cobalt(III) (2)

BY AKIKO SEKINE AND YUJI OHASHI

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152, Japan

AND ETSUYO SHIMIZU AND KAYAKO HORI

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, Japan

(Received 8 May 1990; accepted 11 June 1990)

Abstract. (1): $[Co(C_3H_4N)(C_7H_9N)(C_4H_7N_2O_2)_2], M_r$ 9.288 (2), c = 11.644 (2) Å, $\beta = 92.37$ (2)°, V =

2114.6 (6) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1.415 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, λ (Mo K α) = 450.38, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 19.570(2), b = -0.71069 Å, $\mu = 7.91$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 944, T = -0.71069 Å, $\mu = 7.91$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = -0.44, T = -0.71069 Å, $\mu = -0.71069$ Å 298 K, R = 0.071 for 3079 independent reflections.

> 0108-2701/91/010053-04\$03.00 © 1991 International Union of Crystallography